Memorandum for file OSI_W/A

21 January 1953

Meeting of OSI Advisory Group on UFO January-14-thru-17, 1953 ---- Declassified by 0573

date 20 APR is//

At 0945 on January 14, 1953, an ad loc panel of scientific consultents was convened to review the "Unidentified Flying Objects" problem. A detailed statement of the problem presented to the group by CIA is attached as Appendix. A. The panel consisted of the following:

Dr. Pobertson, CIT

Dr. Luis-Alvarez

Dr. S. Gondsmit, Brookhaven

Dr. Thornton Page, OR) Johns Hopkins

Dr. J.A. Rynek, consultant to ATIC.

The following members of the staff of OSI were present for various parts of the discussions.

Dr. H.M. Chadwell

R.L. Clark

P.G. Strong

Lt. Col. Oder (P&E)
D.B. Stevenson (Weapons) To assist the scientific panel in its review and analysis of evidence; Capt. E. J. Ruppelt of ATIC, Lt. Neasham and Mr. H. Woo of the Navy photo interpretation lab at Amacostian and Major Fournet and Capt. Smith of Air-Force Directorate of Intelligence were present.

A final report on the results of the meeting is being prepared for the AD/SI by [F.C. Durant but it is believed that the following is a fair statement of the conclusions reached:

- 1. No evidence is available to indicate any physical threat to the security of the United States.
- 2. No evidence is available to indicate the existence or use of any . as yet unknown (to us) fundamental scientific principles.
- 3. The subject "UFO" is not of direct intelligence interest. It is of indirect intelligence interest only insofar as any knowledge about the inumerable unsolved mysteries of the universe are of intelligence interest.
 - 4. The subject "UFO" is of operational interest for three reasons:
 - (a) Interference with air defense by intentional enemy jaming or by lack of ability on the part of operating personnel to discriminate between radar enoralies and actual eircorne weapons
 - (b) Related to (a), interference with air defense by overloading communication lines from the air defense observation stations,
 - (c) Possibility of a psychological offensive by the energy timed with respect to an actual attace could conceivably seriously

Approved for Release

C00015352 At 0945 on January 14, 1953, an ad loc panel of scientific consultants convened to review the "Unidentified Flying Objects" problem. A detailed statement of the problem presented to the group by CIA is attached as Appendix. The panel consisted of the following:

Dr. Robertson, CIT

Dr. Luis-Alvarez

Dr. S. Gondsmit, Brookhavan

Dr. Thornton Page, OR) Johns Hopkins

Dr. J.A. Hynek, consultant to ATIC.

The following members of the staff of OSI were present for various parts of the discussions.

Dr. H.M. Chadwell

R.L. Clark

P.G. Strong F.C. Durant III

Lt. Col. Oder (P&E)

D.B. Stevenson (Weapons)

To assist the scientific ranel in its review and enalysis of evidence; Capt. E. J. Appelt of ATIC, Lt. Neashan and Mr. H. Woo of the Havy photo interpretation lab at Anacostian and Major Fournet and Capt. Smith of Air Force Directorate of Intelligence were present.

A final report on the results of the meeting is being prepared for the AD/SI by F.C. Durant but it is believed that the following is a fair statement of the conclusions reached:

- 1. No evilence is available to indicate any physical threat to the security of the United States.
- 2. No evidence is available to indicate the existence or use of any as yet unknown (to us) fundamental scientific principles.
- 3. The subject "UrO" is not of direct intelligence interest. It is of indirect intelligence interest only insofar as any knowledge about the inwerable unsolved mysteries of the universe are of intelligence interest.
 - 4. The subject "UFO" is of operational interest for three reasons:
 - (a) Interference with air defense by intentional energy jamming or by lack of ability on the part of operating personnel to disoriminate between radar anomalies and actual airborne weapons
 - Related to (a), interference with air defense by overloading communication lines from the air defense observation stations.
 - Possibility of a psychological offensive by the energy timed with respect to an actual attac; could conceivably seriously



reduce the defense effort of the general public.

It is noted that these general conclusions as stated here do not specifically answer the needs presented in Appendix A. However, it is anticipated that comment on these points will be presented in a written statement from the scientific panel to AD/SI.

DBS:gl	(typed 12 February	1953)
lani ad.		